

Students are *Signaling*

How to Listen and Act



Today's Presenters



Eric Page
Chief Client Officer



Megan Robinson
*Executive Vice President,
Enrollment Services*

A group of four young adults are laughing joyfully together. In the foreground, a young woman with dark hair, wearing a yellow t-shirt and large hoop earrings, is laughing heartily. To her right, a young man with a beard and brown hair, wearing a green jacket over a white t-shirt, is also laughing. In the background, another young woman with long dark hair is laughing with her head tilted back. On the far left, a young man in a light purple shirt is also laughing. The background is slightly blurred, showing an indoor setting with a plant.

Framing the Conversation

Why Signals Matter Now

They've always mattered; what's changed?



Recruitment approaches are changing.

The shift from volume to precision in higher ed marketing.



Student preferences are changing.

The diversification of audiences and modalities and thus interests and needs.



Ways students signal are changing.

Student intent is communicated in more places than ever but it's simultaneously getting harder to directly track signals.



Reliance on data is changing.

The real risk isn't lack of data; it's being overwhelmed by, misinterpreting, or under-using it.

Define Signals

What do we mean when we say students are signaling? What are they telling us?



Indicators
of Who the
student is.

Use these indicators
to shape **the what** and
the why.



Indicators
of How close
the student
is to acting.

Use these indicators
to shape **the what's**
next.



“People don’t want to be sold to. They want to be understood.”

Jill Konrath, Sales author and strategist

Signals about
the Person

Core Dimensions to Listen For

- **Academic Identity:** Program interest, exploratory versus declared
- **Motivation and Values:** Career-driven, mission-driven, outcomes-driven, experience-driven
- **Decision Style:** Research-heavy versus quick validation, independent versus influence-conscious
- **Constraints:** Price sensitivity, geography, modality, timeline
- **Stage of Self Discovery:** “I’m figuring out who I am” versus “I know exactly what I want”

Translating into *Storytelling.*

Move from one institutional story to
multiple entry points.

Discoverers → reassurance, pathways, flexibility

Decided students → depth, differentiation,
proof

Values-driven students → mission, impact,
belonging

Career-driven students → outcomes,
employers, ROI

Personalize more than the subject line.

Shape the **narrative.**



And it works.

When we gather, quantify, and target based on the person, we see results:

- + Avg. Pro:Inq rate (54.47% v. 16.43%)
- + Avg. App Completion rate (93.40% v. 90.48%)
- + Avg. Admit to Deposit (37.2 days v. 54.1 days)

Personalization isn't about being clever—it's about being **relevant**. It's capturing these person-level signals and acting on them.



“Behavior happens when motivation, ability, and a prompt converge at the same moment.”

BJ Fogg, PhD, Stanford University, Fogg Behavior Model

Signals about
the *Intent*

Intent is *behavioral*,
not demographic

Repetition and **acceleration** matter
more than single actions. *Look for:*

+

Frequency

+

Recency

+

Progression

+

Relativity



High-value intent signals

- Returning to the same content multiple times
- Engaging with application, visit, or financial aid content
- Switching from inspiration to logistics
- Responding across multiple channels

Common Mistakes

- Treating all engagement as equal
- Over-weighting one action without context
- Confusing curiosity with commitment



Acting on Intent Signals

Right **message**,
right **moment**, right
channel

High Intent ↑
Accelerating

urgency, reassurance, next steps

Fluctuating Intent
Exploring

clarify fit, social proof, outcomes

Low Intent ↓
Fading

reduce friction, build confidence, answer “why”

And it works.

When we gather, quantify, and target based on intent, we are:

- + 94% accurate in determining likelihood to apply
- + Prospects/Inquiries: 50x more likely to reach a student that will apply.
- + 93% accurate in determining likelihood to enroll
- + Admits: 14x more likely to reach an enrollee



“Theories are only useful to the extent that they inform action.”

Chris Argyris, Harvard Business School professor

*Tactical
Techniques*

Capture Student Signals

We told you the what
but here's the *how*

Store these data points in fields,
interaction codes, and general
CRM-standard constructs

- Geodemographic markers
- Timestamps of activity
- Frequency of activity
- Ping and/or Google Analytics on webpages
- Student-provided data on forms
- Deliver / Campaign data
- Time to action / conversion
- Cross-channel connectivity
- Types of content consumed

Score Student Signals

Let's make it
quantifiable

Basic

→ Look at historical conversion on key metrics and weights of those variables

→ Apply weights to variables

→ Basic score

Note: Not as scientific as no weight caps or variance on repeating variables.

Advanced

→ Extract 3 years of historical data

→ Use machine learning and/or AI and logistic regression to calculate precise variable weights

→ Apply those weights AND the time since / between those actions AND the relativity to peers in the cohort

→ EnGauge Score

Act on Student Signals

Make data-driven decisions

- Calls
- Travel
- Print
- Texts
- Digital Ads
- Personalized Videos

Spend limited resources - your time and money - efficiently and effectively with *prospective* students

Act on Student Signals

Make data-driven decisions

Spend limited resources - your time and money - efficiently and effectively with **current** students and **future cohorts**

- Narrowed focus and efficiency
- Retention as a consideration for enrollment pipeline development
- Intelligence for predictive modeling
- Driving conversions more effectively
- Targeted management within a cohort

Student Signals *Best Practices*

Things to keep in mind

- Ensure scores update in real time
- Ensure users know what to do with the scores and signals
- Ensure scores change as behavior changes
- Ensure scores are easily accessible (In your Slate instance)

“Synthesis is not the accumulation of facts, but the integration of ideas.”

Jerome Bruner, Cognitive Psychologist and University of Oxford Professor

Bringing
It Together:
From Signals
to Strategy

The intersection of *person + intent*

- Who they are tells you *what* to say
- Intent tells you *when* to say it
- The overlap is *intentional segmentation*



Organizational Implications

- Better alignment between marketing, admissions, and enrollment ops
- Fewer “one-size-fits-all” campaigns, ensuring students feel seen, heard, and supported through their journey
- Smarter use of staff time and budgets

Final Reframes



- Students are already telling you what they need
- The institutions that win are the ones that listen and act
- This will pay off - cycle after cycle

Resources

[Crafting a Strong Brand Strategy Has Never Been More Important To Sustaining Your Enrollment](#)

[Product Feature: Personalized Digital Experiences](#)

[Personality Science in Higher Education: Building a Humanized Brand](#)

[Product Feature: Carnegie's EnGauge Scores](#)

[Summer Research Series: Personality in Storytelling](#)

[Smooth Transitions: Strengthening the Handoff from Admissions to Student Success](#)

Thank you.

Eric Page

epage@carnegiehighered.com

Megan Robinson

mrobinson@carnegiehighered.com

210 Littleton Road, Suite 100 Westford, MA 01886

978-692-5092 | info@carnegiehighered.com

carnegiehighered.com

CARNEGIE